UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

<u>anamanananana</u>

Blue Spike, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

Texas Instruments, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 6:12-cv-499 MHS

LEAD CASE

Jury Trial Demanded

ORDER GRANTING COUNTERDEFENDANT SCOTT MOSKOWITZ'S MOTION TO DISMISS AUDIBLE MAGIC CORPORATION'S COUNTERCLAIMS

On this day came for consideration Counterdefendant Scott Moskowitz's ("Moskowitz's") Motion to Dismiss Defendant Audible Magic Corporation's ("Defendant's") Counterclaims in the above-captioned proceeding pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6). Having considered both Moskowitz's motion and supporting papers, and Defendant's opposition and supporting papers, the Court is of the opinion that said motion should be GRANTED.

Defendant's counterclaims 1 through 9 are dismissed because Moskowitz has no legal rights in the patents-in-suit. Moskowitz is no longer a proper party to lawsuits concerning these patents, and there is no active case or controversy. Defendant's counterclaim 10 is dismissed because unjust enrichment is not recognized as an independent cause of action under Texas law. Defendant's counterclaim 11 is dismissed because it is not actionable under the Lanham Act. The statement on which counterclaim 11 relies does not refer to a commercial good or service, is immaterial, and is not

sufficiently specific or measurable. Defendant also has failed to allege a basis for imposing personal liability on Moskowitz for a statement allegedly published on a company website.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Counterdefendant Scott Moskowitz's Motion to Dismiss is hereby granted pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6).

IT IS SO ORDERED.